



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,598	12/06/2005	Lars Bogelund Jensen	P70481USD	9446
136 7590 02/02/2009 JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004				
EXAMINER HOLLOWAY, IAN KNOBEL				
ART UNIT 3763		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 02/02/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/532,598

Applicant(s)

JENSEN ET AL.

Examiner

IAN K. HOLLOWAY

Art Unit

3763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) IAN K. HOLLOWAY.(3) Suzin Bailey.(2) Nicholas Lucchesi.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: the connecting device.

Claim(s) discussed: 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shoor (US Patent 4256106).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed amendment was submitted concerning Claim 19 that would replace the line "rotatably movable about said longitudinal axis" with "about said first connector part." The sample was brought to demonstrate the movement of the connector part and once the RCE is filed along with the amendment another evaluation of the art will be conducted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763